## Puiseux expansions of the roots of the equations of pseudo-polynomials with a small parameter

Seiichiro Wakabayashi

## January 16, 2019

This note is a supplement to [W]. Let U be a non-void bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a_j(s,\eta) \in \mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$  ( $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ ). Here  $f(\eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$  means that  $f(\eta)$  is a real analytic function of  $\eta$  in a neighborhood of  $\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ . We may assume that Uis connected. Put  $a_m(s,\eta) \equiv 1$ . Since  $\overline{U}$  is compact, there is  $\delta > 0$ such that the  $a_j(s,\eta)$  can be regarded as analytic functions defined in a neighborhood of  $V \equiv \{(s,\eta) \in \mathbf{C} \times \overline{U}; \text{Re } s \in (-1-\delta, 1+\delta) \text{ and} | \text{Im } \delta| < \delta\}$ . Define

$$p(t, s, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j(s, \eta) t^j.$$

Noting that  $\mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$  is an integral domain, we denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  its quotient field. Then we can write

$$p(t,s,\eta) = p_1(t,s,\eta)^{k_1} \cdots p_{\nu}(t,s,\eta)^{k_{\nu}},$$

where  $p_j(t, s, \eta) \in \mathcal{K}[t]$  ( $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ ) are monic irreducible polynomials of t,  $\deg_t p_j(t, s, \eta) \geq 1$  and the  $p_j(t, s, \eta)$  are mutually prime in  $\mathcal{K}[t]$ . Put

$$P(t, s, \eta) = p_1(t, s, \eta) \cdots p_{\nu}(t, s, \eta),$$

and denote by  $R(s,\eta)$  the discriminant of  $P(t,s,\eta) = 0$  in t, *i.e.*, the resultant of  $P(t,s,\eta)$  and  $(\partial P/\partial t)(t,s,\eta)$  as polynomials of t. Then we have  $R(s,\eta) \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , *i.e.*,  $R(s,\eta) \neq 0$  in  $(s,\eta)$ . Since  $R(s,\eta) \in \mathcal{K}$ , there

is  $\psi(s,\eta) \in \mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$  such that  $\psi(s,\eta) \neq 0$  and  $\psi(s,\eta)R(s,\eta) \in \mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$ . Putting  $\tilde{\varphi}(s,\eta) := \psi(s,\eta)^2 R(s,\eta)$ , we see that the roots of  $P(t,s,\eta) = 0$  in t are all simple for  $(s,\eta) \in \widetilde{V}_0 \equiv \{(s,\eta) \in V; \tilde{\varphi}(s,\eta) \neq 0\}$ , modifying  $\delta$  if necessary. This implies that the multiplicities of the roots of  $p(t,s,\eta) = 0$  are constant for  $(s,\eta) \in \widetilde{V}_0$  (see, also, Lemma in [W]). Choose  $\tilde{\psi}(s,\eta) \in \mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$  so that  $\tilde{\psi}(s,\eta) \neq 0$  and the coefficients of  $\tilde{\psi}(s,\eta)P(t,s,\eta)$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}([-1,1] \times \overline{U})$ , and put  $\varphi(s,\eta) = \tilde{\varphi}(s,\eta)\tilde{\psi}(s,\eta)$ . We also modify  $\delta > 0$  so that the coefficients of  $\tilde{\psi}(s,\eta)P(t,s,\eta)$  and  $\varphi(s,\eta)$  are analytic in V, if necessary. By the implicit function theorem there are analytic functions  $t_k(s,\eta)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq \overline{m}$ ) defined in  $\hat{V} \equiv \{(s,\eta) \in V; \varphi(s,\eta) \neq 0$  and  $s \notin (-\infty, 0)\}$  satisfying

$$P(t, s, \eta) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} (t - t_k(s, \eta)),$$

where  $\bar{m} = \deg_t P(t, s, \eta)$ . So there are  $r_k \in \{k_1, \dots, k_\nu\}$   $(1 \le k \le \bar{m})$  such that

$$p(t,s,\eta) = \prod_{k=1}^{m} (t - t_k(s,\eta))^{r_k} \quad \text{for } (s,\eta) \in \widehat{V}.$$

Write

$$\varphi(s,\eta) = \sum_{j=l_0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\eta) s^j$$

which converges in  $V \cap \{|s| < \delta\}$ , where  $\varphi_{l_0}(\eta) \neq 0$ . Let  $U_0$  be a connected open subset of  $\overline{U}$  satisfying  $\varphi_{l_0}(\eta) \neq 0$  for  $\eta \in \overline{U}_0$ . Modifying  $\delta > 0$  we may assume that

 $\varphi(s,\eta) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of  $V_0 \equiv \{(s,\eta) \in \mathbf{C} \times \overline{U}_0; 0 < |s| < \delta\}.$ 

Then the  $t_k(s,\eta)$  are analytic in a neighborhood of  $V_0 \cap \{s \notin (-\infty,0)\}$ . For a fixed  $\eta \in \overline{U}_0$  analytic continuations in s of  $t_k(s,\eta)$  around s = 0(crossing the negative real axis anti-clockwise) show that there are  $\nu_k \equiv \nu_k(\eta) \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq \overline{m}$ ) such that

$$t_k(se^{2\pi\nu i}, \eta) \not\equiv t_k(s, \eta) \quad \text{in } s \in (0, \delta) \quad \text{if } \nu \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } \nu < \nu_k;$$
  
$$t_k(se^{2\pi\nu_k i}, \eta) = t_k(s, \eta) \quad (0 < s < \delta).$$

Applying the same argument as in the proof of the monodromy theorem, we can prove that  $\nu_k(\eta)$  does not depend on  $\eta \in U_0$  (see, e.g., [A]). It follows from Riemann's theorem on removable singularities that  $t_k(z^{\nu_k}, \eta)$ ( $1 \le k \le \overline{m}$ ) are (single-valued) analytic functions of  $(z, \eta)$  in  $\{(z, \eta) \in \mathbf{C} \times \overline{U}_0; |z| < \delta^{1/\nu_k}\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$t_k(z^{\nu_k},\eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{k,j}(\eta) z^j \quad (1 \le k \le \bar{m}),$$

in  $\{(z,\eta) \in \mathbf{C} \times \overline{U}_0; |z| < \delta^{1/\nu_k}\}$ , which are convergent, where the  $t_{k,j}(\eta)$  are real analytic in  $\overline{U}_0$ . This implies that the  $t_k(s,\eta)$  can be expanded into Puiseux series in s which converge for  $(s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0$ , *i.e.*,

$$t_k(s,\eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{k,j}(\eta) s^{j/\nu_k} \quad \text{for } (s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0.$$

Thus we have the following

**Theorem.** There are  $\varphi_0(\eta) \neq 0 \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{U}), \ \overline{m} \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } r_k \in \mathbf{N}$ ( $1 \leq k \leq \overline{m}$ ) satisfying the following: For each connected open subset  $U_0$  of  $\overline{U}$  satisfying  $\varphi_0(\eta) \neq 0$  for  $\eta \in \overline{U}_0$ there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $t_k(s, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}((0, \delta) \times \overline{U}_0)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq \overline{m}$ ) such that

$$t_k(s,\eta) \neq t_l(s,\eta) \quad \text{for } (s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0 \quad \text{if } 1 \le k < l \le \overline{m}$$
$$p(t,s,\eta) = \prod_{k=1}^{\overline{m}} (t - t_k(s,\eta))^{r_k} \quad \text{for } (s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0$$

and the  $t_k(s,\eta)$  can be expanded into Puiseux series in s which converge for  $(s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0$ , i.e.,

$$t_k(s,\eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{k,j}(\eta) s^{j/\nu_k} \quad \text{for } (s,\eta) \in (0,\delta) \times \overline{U}_0,$$

where  $t_{k,j}(\eta) \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{U}_0)$  and  $\nu_k \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $1 \le k \le \overline{m}, j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ ).

## References

- [A] Lars V. Ahlfors, Comlex Analysis, Third Edition, 1979, McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd.
- [W] Seiichiro Wakabayashi, Asymptotic expansions of the roots of the equations of pseudo-polynomials with a small parameter, located in https://wkbysh.sakura.ne.jp/index.html.